Asymmetric Synthesis of Secondary Alcohols and 1,2-Disubstituted Epoxides via Organocatalytic Sulfenylation
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Abstract
Enantioenriched secondary alcohols can be prepared via a short reaction sequence involving asymmetric organocatalytic sulfenylation of an aldehyde, organometallic addition, and desulfurization. This process provides access to enantioenriched alcohols with sterically similar groups attached to the alcohol carbon atom. The intermediate β-hydroxysulfides can also serve as precursors to enantioenriched 1,2-disubstituted epoxides via alkylation of the sulfur and subsequent base-mediated ring closure.
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The asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols is a significant challenge in organic chemistry, with typical approaches including the asymmetric reduction of prochiral ketones² and the asymmetric addition of organometallic reagents to aldehydes.¹ Whilst both of these approaches have proved successful in many cases, it is often difficult to achieve high levels of enantioselectivity when the two groups attached to the alcohol carbon atom have similar steric demands. We envisaged that a general asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols could be achieved via organocatalytic sulfenylation of an aldehyde at the α position, diastereoselective addition of an organometallic reagent to the aldehyde, and subsequent sulfur removal using Raney nickel (Scheme 1). Such an approach should be very versatile as the large sulfenyl group can be used as a ‘traceless temporary chiral auxiliary’ which controls the formation of the chiral alcohol center even if the two groups R¹ and R³ are sterically undemanding.

The field of organocatalysis has grown enormously over the past 20 years, with many effective methods now available for carrying out asymmetric reactions using small molecule catalysts.⁴ In particular, many different catalysts derived from amino acids have been developed for achieving asymmetric functionalization of aldehydes via ‘enamine’ catalysis.⁵ The Jørgensen–Hayashi catalyst ²⁶ has proved to be particularly versatile in this respect as it can be used to introduce a wide variety of groups at the α position of an aldehyde with high levels of asymmetric induction in most cases.⁶–⁸ Organocatalytic sulfenylation reactions have been reported using N-sulfenyl heterocycles as electrophiles, although to date only the synthesis of benzyl⁸a and hexyl⁸b,c sulfides has been reported.

The choice of sulfur group for our proposed asymmetric synthesis of secondary alcohols is crucial as a high diastereoselectivity in the organometallic addition reaction is a prerequisite for an efficient synthesis. From a study of the literature⁹ and preliminary experiments we noted that higher diastereoselectivities were obtained from addition of organometallic reagents to aldehydes bearing bulkier groups such as tert-butyl or phenyl sulfide. The latter group was selected for further exploration, due to the extremely potent odor of tert-butyl thiol and its derivatives. As to the best of our knowledge the asymmetric introduction of a phenylsulfenyl group⁹d has never been previously reported using organocatalysis, we began our investigation by preparing the requisite N-phenylsulfenyl triazole (3a).¹⁰ With this compound in hand, we were then able to identify a procedure for organocatalytic sulfenylation to provide the desired α-phenylsulfenyl aldehydes in high enantiopurity (Scheme 2). As noted previously,⁶ the α-sulfenyl aldehydes were prone to partial racemization during chromatographic...
purification. As can be seen from the measured enantiopurities, even brief exposure of the aldehydes to silica gel can cause some racemization.11

Direct addition of an organometallic reagent to the crude reaction mixture obtained from the asymmetric sulfonylation reaction typically resulted in low yields of the resulting β-hydroxy sulfide and low diastereoselectivity, so a two-step procedure was developed in which the intermediate α-sulfonylaldehyde was purified by vacuum filtration through a plug of silica gel. The intermediate aldehyde was purified by rapid vacuum filtration through a plug of silica gel. (R)-2 was used as catalyst.

However, in the case of alcohol 6d, it was not possible to determine the enantiomeric ratio directly as the two Mosher’s ester derivatives had identical NMR spectra.17

We envisaged that the β-hydroxy sulfides could also be used to synthesize 1,2-disubstituted epoxides via conversion of the sulfide group into a suitable leaving group.18 For β-hydroxy sulfide ent-5f this was achieved via alkylation with Meerwein’s salt, followed by treatment with base to determine the enantiomeric ratio directly as the two Mosher’s ester derivatives had identical NMR spectra.17

give the epoxide 7f in moderate yield (Scheme 5). There are relatively few methods available for accessing this type of unfunctionalized 1,2-disubstituted epoxide in high enantiopurity, so this approach may prove extremely useful.

Scheme 5  Conversion of a β-hydroxysulfide into an enantioenriched 1,2-disubstituted epoxide

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that asymmetric organocatalytic sulfonylation of aldehydes can be employed in the synthesis of enantioenriched secondary alcohols and 1,2-disubstituted epoxides via short synthetic routes. This approach can provide access to enantiomerically enriched chiral building blocks which are difficult to access via existing approaches.
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(12) General Procedure for the Preparation of β-Hydroxysulfides

A solution of aldehyde (1 equiv) and catalyst 2 (0.1 equiv) was stirred in toluene (1.3 M) for 15 min. A solution of sulfonylchloride 3 (1.3 equiv) in toluene (1.6 M) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred under argon at r.t. for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then quickly sucked under vacuum through a pre-wet (toluene) pad of silica (ca. 1.5 g per 100 mg of aldehyde). The filtrate was added dropwise to a solution of sulfonylethoxide (3–4 equiv) cooled to –78 °C (for Li reagents) or –10 °C (for Grignard reagents). The reaction was monitored by TLC and stirred until all the intermediate α-sulfonylaldehyde was consumed. The reaction was then quenched with sat. NH4Cl and partitioned between H2O and Et2O. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et2O, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. The crude β-hydroxysulfide was purified by column chromatography (PE–Et2O).

(2R,3S)-3-(Phenylthio)octan-2-ol (5a)

[Δ]25 -4.2 (c 1.0, CHCl3). IR (film): νmax = 3414, 3040, 2959, 2925, 2858, 1584, 1466, 1439, 1279, 1139 cm–1. Isolated as a 91:9 mixture of diastereoisomers. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ (major isomer): 0.88 (3 H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH2CH2), 1.19 (3 H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, CHCH3), 1.27–1.71 (8 H, m, 4 × CH2), 2.33 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.16 (1 H, ddd, J = 9.4, 5.8, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.89 (1 H, qd, J = 6.4, 3.2 Hz, CHOH), 7.22–7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 × ArH). 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 14.2, 19.1, 22.6, 27.5, 30.1, 31.8, 58.3, 68.3, 127.1, 129.2, 132.0, 135.5. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ (minor isomer): 0.88 (3 H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH2CH2), 1.25 (3 H, d, J = 6.1 Hz, CHCH3), 1.27–1.71 (8 H, m, 4 × CH2), 2.91 (1 H, ddd, J = 9.6, 6.5, 3.2 Hz, SCH), 3.72 (1 H, dq, J = 6.5, 6.1 Hz, CHOH), 7.22–7.30 (3 H, m, 3 × ArH), 7.44 (2 H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 × ArH).
2 × ArH). $^{13}$C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 14.2, 20.2, 22.7, 27.0, 30.1, 31.1, 59.3, 68.3, 127.3, 129.1, 132.5, 135.5. HRMS (EI): $m/z$ calc for C$_{14}$H$_{22}$OS: 238.13859; found: 238.13884 [M$^+$].

(13) The absolute stereochemistry of the alcohol 5I was determined by NMR analysis of the Mosher’s ester derivatives (see ref. 11). Full experimental details are provided in the Supporting Information.


(15) General Procedure for the Preparation of Alcohols 6

A solution of $\beta$-hydroxysulfide (1 mmol) and Raney Ni (2 g) in EtOH (0.05 M) was stirred at reflux for 2–4 h. The mixture was cooled to r.t. and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness to afford the alcohol.

$\text{(R)-Octan-2-ol (6a)}$

[{$\alpha$}]$_D^{25}$ = $-5.4$ (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$). IR (film): $\nu_{\text{max}}$ = 3339, 2960, 2927, 2857, 1462, 1373, 1279, 1177, 1141, 1115 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 0.91 (3 H, t, $J$ = 6.9 Hz, CH$_2$CH$_3$), 1.21 (3 H, d, $J$ = 6.1 Hz, CH$_3$), 1.27–1.51 (10 H, m, 5 × CH$_2$), 3.81 (1 H, m, CH$_2$OH). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 14.1, 22.6, 23.5, 25.7, 29.3, 31.8, 39.4, 68.2. These spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported previously: Maywald, M.; Pfaltz, A. Synthesis 2009, 3654.

(16) The diastereomeric $\beta$-hydroxysulfides were not readily separable. Thus, the er of the alcohol 6 was calculated from the enantipurity of the intermediate $\alpha$-sulfidoaldehyde (determined by reducing a sample to the primary alcohol 4) and the dr of the purified $\beta$-hydroxysulfide 5 used in the desulfurization reaction. Please see Supporting Information for further details.

(17) Schreiber, S. L.; Reagan, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2945; separation of derivatives of 5e via chiral HPLC was also unsuccessful.
